

HENRY BAKER COLLEGE MELUKAVU

Feedback Report 2022 – 2023

Report of Student, Teacher, Alumni and Employer feedback

FEEDBACK OF VARIOUS STAKEHOLDERS ON CURRICULUM

Feedback from stakeholders is an essential element of the learning process. The college collects feedback on the content of the course, relevance of the curriculum, allocation of credits, competencies expected, effectiveness of the evaluation system and inculcation of values. The feedback collected was analysed and taken into the consideration for the development of our learning system.

In the current academic year, 170 students participated in the feedback survey. There were 5 measures on the feedback forms which are the timely completion of the syllabus, transparent examination processes and innovative teaching methods such as online resources and ICT tools. Additionally, it focuses on enhancing students' knowledge, skills and analytical abilities through academic and extra-curricular activities. To further improve academic performance, attention should be given to supporting slow learners, enhancing library resources, and creating a more conducive learning environment.

Likert scale measurement was used in the questionnaire, where excellent is scored as 5, good 4, very good 3, average 2 and poor 1..

Feedbacks collected from respondents are summarized in the succeeding tables.

ALUMNE FEEDBACK

| Academic environment in the Campus is conducive for learning | Counts | % of Total |
|---|--------|------------|
| Excellent | 32 | 57.1 % |
| Very good | 11 | 19.6 % |
| Average | 1 | 1.8 % |
| Good | 12 | 21.4 % |

| Resourcefulness of Library/ Availability of reading materials (D) | Counts | % of Total |
|---|--------|------------|
| Excellent | 32 | 57.1 % |
| Good | 9 | 16.1 % |
| Very good | 15 | 26.8 % |

| Career orientation in the syllabus. | Counts | % of Total |
|-------------------------------------|--------|------------|
| Excellent | 21 | 37.5 % |
| Good | 13 | 23.2 % |
| Very good | 19 | 33.9 % |
| Average | 3 | 5.4 % |

| Frequencies of Academic environment is suitable for higher learning | | |
|---|--------|------------|
| Academic environment is suitable for higher learning | Counts | % of Total |
| Always | 36 | 64.3 % |
| Usually | 16 | 28.6 % |
| Sometimes | 4 | 7.1 % |

| Matching industry needs. | Counts | % of Total |
|--------------------------|--------|------------|
| Excellent | 18 | 32.1 % |
| Very good | 16 | 28.6 % |
| Good | 18 | 32.1 % |
| Average | 4 | 7.1 % |

| How far your Degree suitable to the present job? | Counts | % of Total |
|---|--------|------------|
| To a great extent | 1 | 1.8 % |
| To a great extent | 28 | 50.0 % |
| Moderate | 16 | 28.6 % |
| Very little | 3 | 5.4 % |
| Some what | 7 | 12.5 % |
| How far your Degree suitable to the present job? | 1 | 1.8 % |

Analysis

In the academic year 2022 -2023, 56 alumni participated in the feedback survey. There were seven measures on the feedback form like conduciveness learning of for the academic environment in the campus, career orientation of the syllabus, whether it industry matches needs, resourcefulness of reading materials etc.

STUDENTS FEEDBACK

| Syllabus was fully covered on time | Counts | % of Total |
|------------------------------------|--------|---------------|
| Yes | 135 | 79.4 % |
| No | 35 | 20.6 % |

| Examination and valuation systems are transparent and results a (2) | Counts | % of Total |
|---|--------|---------------|
| Sometimes | 32 | 18.8 % |
| Often | 43 | 25.3 % |
| Always | 74 | 43.5 % |
| Rarely | 15 | 8.8 % |
| Never | 6 | 3.5 % |

| Use of Innovative Teaching methods by faculty (online resources (2) | Counts | % of Total |
|--|--------|---------------|
| Very Good | 52 | 30.6 % |
| Excellent | 39 | 22.9 % |
| Good | 60 | 35.3 % |
| Average | 16 | 9.4 % |

| Use of Innovative Teaching methods by faculty (online resources (2) | Counts | % of Total |
|--|--------|---------------|
| Poor | 3 | 1.8 % |

| Promotion of knowledge enhancement, imparting skills, critical (2) | Counts | % of Total |
|--|--------|---------------|
| Very Good | 55 | 32.4 % |
| Good | 52 | 30.6 % |
| Excellent | 38 | 22.4 % |
| Average | 19 | 11.2 % |
| Poor | 6 | 3.5 % |

| Skill development and employability skills are imparted through (2) | Counts | % of Total |
|---|--------|---------------|
| Often | 55 | 32.4 % |
| Sometimes | 34 | 20.0 % |
| Always | 59 | 34.7 % |
| Never | 8 | 4.7 % |
| Rarely | 14 | 8.2 % |

| Resourcefulness of Library/Availability of reading materials (D (2) | Counts | % of Total |
|---|--------|---------------|
| Excellent | 65 | 38.2 % |
| Good | 36 | 21.2 % |
| Very Good | 52 | 30.6 % |
| Average | 13 | 7.6 % |
| Poor | 4 | 2.4 % |

| The present academic environment promotes students for higher s (2) | Counts | % of Total |
|---|--------|---------------|
| Often | 47 | 27.6 % |
| Always | 71 | 41.8 % |
| Sometimes | 36 | 21.2 % |
| Rarely | 9 | 5.3 % |
| Never | 7 | 4.1 % |

| Special academic attention is given to slow learners and poor p (2) | Counts | % of Total |
|---|--------|---------------|
| Frequently | 54 | 31.8 % |
| Never | 8 | 4.7 % |
| Always | 45 | 26.5 % |

| Special academic attention is given to slow learners and poor p (2) | Counts | % of Total |
|---|--------|---------------|
| Sometimes | 42 | 24.7 % |
| Rarely | 21 | 12.4 % |

| Effectiveness of extracurricular and co- curricular activities b (2) | Counts | % of Total |
|--|--------|---------------|
| Very Good | 49 | 28.8 % |
| Good | 53 | 31.2 % |
| Excellent | 44 | 25.9 % |
| Poor | 7 | 4.1 % |
| Average | 17 | 10.0 % |

| Infrastructural facilities in the campus (2) | Counts | % of Total |
|--|--------|---------------|
| Very Good | 54 | 31.8 % |
| Poor | 7 | 4.1 % |
| Good | 50 | 29.4 % |
| Excellent | 42 | 24.7 % |
| Average | 17 | 10.0 % |

| Academic environment in the campus is conducive for learning (2) | Counts | % of Total |
|--|--------|---------------|
| Very Good | 54 | 31.8 % |
| Average | 16 | 9.4 % |
| Excellent | 48 | 28.2 % |
| Good | 48 | 28.2 % |
| Poor | 4 | 2.4 % |

| Overall ambience of the institution | Counts | % of Total |
|-------------------------------------|--------|---------------|
| Very Good | 46 | 27.1 % |
| Average | 12 | 7.1 % |
| Excellent | 54 | 31.8 % |
| Good | 55 | 32.4 % |
| Poor | 3 | 1.8 % |

Analysis

-2023, 170 2022 students participated in the feedback survey. There were 11 measures on the feedback form. The measures are syllabus was fully covered on time, examination system is transparent, use of innovative teaching methods by promotion of knowledge faculty, enhancement, skill development and resourcefulness employability, library, whether the present academic environment promotes students for higher study, whether special attention is given to slow learners, effectiveness of extracurricular activities, infrastructural facilities in the campus, ambience overall and whether academic environment is conducive for learning.

EMPLOYER FEEDBACK

| Designation of the Employee | Counts | % of Total |
|-----------------------------|--------|---------------|
| Admission Manager | 1 | 11.1 % |
| Principal | 1 | 11.1 % |
| Module Lead | 1 | 11.1 % |
| HST | 1 | 11.1 % |
| Deputy manager | 2 | 22.2 % |
| Operations Manager | 1 | 11.1 % |
| Civil Police Officer | 1 | 11.1 % |
| Overseer | 1 | 11.1 % |

| Career orientation | Counts | % of Total |
|--------------------|--------|---------------|
| Excellent | 6 | 66.7 % |
| Very Good | 3 | 33.3 % |

| Meeting industry needs. | Counts | % of Total |
|-------------------------|--------|---------------|
| Excellent | 6 | 66.7 % |
| Very Good | 3 | 33.3 % |

| The curriculum promotes team work and leadership. | Counts | % of Total |
|---|--------|---------------|
| Very Good | 4 | 44.4 % |
| Excellent | 5 | 55.6 % |

| Cope with new methods and technology. | Counts | % of Total |
|---------------------------------------|--------|---------------|
| Very Good | 6 | 66.7 % |
| Excellent | 3 | 33.3 % |

| Rate our student's ability to take up extra responsibility and their relationship with co- workers? | Counts | % of Total |
|---|--------|---------------|
| Excellent | 5 | 55.6 % |
| Very Good | 4 | 44.4 % |

| Rate our students working with you? | Counts | % of Total |
|-------------------------------------|--------|---------------|
| Excellent | 7 | 77.8 % |
| Very Good | 2 | 22.2 % |

Analysis

A total of 9 responses of the employers were collected. There were 6 measures on the feedback form. These are career orientation, meeting industry needs, whether the curriculum promotes team work and leadership, whether the employee copes with the new methods and technology, whether the employees have the ability to take extra responsibility and also the rating of the employees.

Teachers Feedback

| The environment in the college is conductive to teaching. | Counts | % of Total |
|---|--------|---------------|
| Agree | 14 | 51.9 % |
| Strongly agree | 13 | 48.1 % |

| ICT facilities in the college are adequate and satisfactory. | Counts | % of Total |
|--|--------|---------------|
| Agree | 15 | 55.6 % |
| Strongly agree | 10 | 37.0 % |
| Neutral | 2 | 7.4 % |

| Library is well equipped and accessible. | Counts | % of Total |
|--|--------|------------|
| Agree | 12 | 44.4 % |
| Strongly agree | 14 | 51.9 % |
| Disagree | 1 | 3.7 % |

| Staff development needs are identified and programmes initiate | Counts | % of Total |
|--|--------|---------------|
| Usually | 13 | 48.1 % |
| Every Time | 9 | 33.3 % |
| Occasionally/Some Times | 5 | 18.5 % |

| On-time syllabus coverage. | Counts | % of Total |
|----------------------------|--------|------------|
| 100% | 11 | 40.7 % |
| 90 - 99% | 13 | 48.1 % |
| 80 - 89% | 3 | 11.1 % |

Analysis

In 2022 -2023, 27 teachers participated in the feedback survey. There were 5 measures on the feedback form. Those are whether the environment is conducive to teaching, whether the ICT facilities are adequate and satisfactory, whether the library is well equipped and accessible, whether staff development needs are identified and on – time syllabus coverage.

OVERALL ANALYSIS

Alumni Feedback (56 participants)

- Conduciveness for Learning: Positive responses indicate a favorable learning environment.
- Career Orientation of Syllabus: Indicates how well the syllabus prepares students for their careers.
- Industry Relevance: Whether the syllabus aligns with industry needs and trends.
- Resourcefulness of Reading Materials: Reflects the availability and usefulness of study materials.

Analysis: Alumni feedback helps assess the college's ability to prepare students for their careers and indicate the relevance of the curriculum to the industry demands.

Student Feedback (170 participants)

- Syllabus Coverage: Indicates whether the curriculum is completed on time.
- Transparent Examination System: Reflects fairness and openness in the assessment process.
- Innovative Teaching Methods: Indicates the use of creative teaching approaches.
- Knowledge Enhancement and Employability: Measures focus on enhancing skills and employability.
- Library Resources: Reflects the availability and usefulness of resources.
- Support for Further Studies: Indicates if the academic environment encourages higher education pursuits.
- Support for Slow Learners: Reflects inclusivity and support mechanisms.
- Extra-curricular Activities: Measures the effectiveness of non-academic programs.
- Infrastructure and Ambience: Reflects the overall physical and psychological environment.
- Conduciveness for Learning: Similar to alumni feedback, focusing on the learning environment.

Analysis: Student feedback is comprehensive and covers various aspects, highlighting the overall educational experience, support systems and infrastructure.

Employer Feedback (9 responses)

- Career Orientation: Indicates if employees are well-prepared for their careers.
- Meeting Industry Needs: Reflects the relevance of education to industry requirements.
- Promotion of Teamwork and Leadership: Measures soft skills development.
- Adaptability to New Methods: Reflects employees' ability to cope with technological advancements.
- Extra Responsibility Ability: Measures willingness and capability to take on additional tasks.
- Employee Ratings: Provides an overall performance assessment.

Analysis: Employer feedback gauges the practical applicability of education, focusing on skills, adaptability, and professional behavior.

Teacher Feedback (27 participants)

- Conduciveness to Teaching: Focuses on the environment's suitability for effective teaching.
- Adequacy of ICT Facilities: Measures the availability and functionality of technology.
- Library Accessibility: Focuses on the availability and accessibility of resources.
- Staff Development Needs: Indicates the support for teacher professional growth.
- Syllabus Coverage: Reflects whether the syllabus is covered within the specified timeframe.

Analysis: Teacher feedback provides insights into the teaching environment, resources, and professional development opportunities, impacting the overall quality of education.

Overall Insights and Action Points:

- Strengths: Identify areas where the college excels based on positive feedback.
- Weaknesses: Address concerns raised by stakeholders, focusing on areas with negative feedback.
- Opportunities: Utilize feedback to identify new initiatives or improvements.
- Threats: Consider potential consequences if feedback concerns are not addressed.

By conducting a detailed analysis, the college can make informed decisions to enhance its educational quality, ensuring it meets the expectations of students, alumni, teachers, and employers, thereby creating a positive learning environment for everyone involved.

Feedback Committee Members

Mrs. Dona Sebastian

Mr. Shinu Thomas P

Principal

Dr. Gireesh Kumar G S
PRINCIPAL
HENRY BAKER COLLEGE
MELUKAYU

